

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,230	11/04/2003	Joseph W. Coburn JR.	15263	5278
55547 75	5547 7590 06/26/2006		EXAMINER	
R. GALE RHODES, ESQ. / MOSER IP LAW GROUP			BASHORE, ALAIN L	
2ND FLOOR	BROAD STREET LOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/701,230	COBURN, JOSEPH W.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alain L. Bashore	1762			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)  🏹	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 E	December 2004.				
<i>,</i>	·	s action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	1.	•			
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-18</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers		·			
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	,		<i>*</i>			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Comparison of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/701,230 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.

- Claims 8-18, drawn to process, classified in class 427, subclass
   162.
- II. Claims 1-7 drawn to product, classified in class 359, subclass 742.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product such as one that is not a pre-determined geometrical configuration.

Art Unit: 1762

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. During a telephone conversation with S. Harrington on 11-12-04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 8-18. Claims 1-7 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 12-14-04 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mau et al.

Mau et al appears to disclose a process of manufacturing decorative material including the step of providing a Fresnel lens and applying an opaque coating to at least first portions of the lens to provide second portions of the lens separated by an opaque

Application/Control Number: 10/701,230

Art Unit: 1762

surface (para 0043; fig 3a, 3b, 4). Mau also teaches the use of screen printing, offset printing, gravure printing, and flexographic printing (para 0035, 0043). There is disclosed use of first and second colors.

There is not disclosed providing a plurality of Fresnel lenses.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include a plurality of Fresnel lenses because Mau teaches that it is known in the prior art to cut up a lens into pieces, thus creating a plurality (para 0003).

6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mau et al as applied to claims above, and further in view of Enlow et al.

Mau et al does not disclose ink jet printing.

Enlow et al discloses ink jet printing (para 0104)

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include in jet printing because Enlow et al teaches equivalency in the art (para 0104).

### **Conclusion**

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,230 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762